

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandra, Veginia 22313-1450 www.uppo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,391	01/19/2001	Hiroshi Shimanuki	106145-00016	7551
7590 06/09/2003  ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC Suite 600 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339			14	
			EXAMINER	
			BUSHEY, CHARLES S	
Washington, DC 20050-5559			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			DA 16
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- 40.7</del>
Advisory Action	09/764,391	SHIMANUKI ET	· <sub>AL.</sub> /
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott Bushey	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence	address
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme	application. A proper int which places the app	reply to a plication in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottomely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date e later than SIX MONTHS from that AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTI the date on which the petition und dof extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period office later than three months after	he mailing date of the final re HS OF THE FINAL REJECTI Ier 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the ding amount of the fee. The for reply originally set in the	ejection. ION. See MPEP appropriate extension appropriate extension final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl	t's Brief must be filed withi		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	* ***		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furt		earch (see NOTE helov	w).
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		caron (see NOTE belov	·· /,
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	• •	y materially reducing o	r simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected cl	aims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s): See Continuation	Sheet.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely fi	iled amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		n considered but does	NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SC	LELY to issues which	were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>3:</b>		
Claim(s) allowed: 1-4 and 6.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8 and 9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5 and 7.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Ex	aminer.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	_
10. Other:		all 1	3 6-9-03
		Scott Bushey Primary Examir Art Unit: 1724	ner

Application No. 009/764,391

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New Issues: the language added to instant claims 8 and 9 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Applicant states that the amendments to claims 8 and 9 should be entered and considered, since according to applicant, only content and functionality of allowed apparatus claim 1 has been added to process claims 8 and 9. Such is not persuasive, since all (claim 8) or some (claim 9) of the language of the last portion of allowed claim 1 (the last three lines of claim 1 pertaining to the plural outlet ports from the housing) has been left out of the amended versions of claims 8 and 9. Since that portion of claim 1 is considered to be critical to the patentability of the claim over the prior art of record, entry of amended claims 8 and 9 would require extensive further consideration by the Examiner of amended claims 8 and 9 to determine there patentability on a basis not previously considered. Applicant should also note that the addition of "said" on the last line of claim 8 does not make sense..

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 8 and 9 as set forth in the Final rejection.